UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/574,135 | 10/18/2006 | Amar Lulla | PAC/eehc/23225 US (4137-0 | 8688 |
| 30652 CONLEY ROS | 7590 06/25/200 E, P.C. | EXAMINER | | |
| 5601 GRANITI | E PARKWAY, SUITE | WESTERBERG, NISSA M | | |
| PLANO, TX 75024 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1618 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/25/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/574,135 | LULLA ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Nissa M. Westerberg | 1618 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | dress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | -· action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | | socution as to the | morite is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> | | | inento is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under £ | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 35</u> is/are pending in the application | 1. | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| · · · · · | alastian requirement | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1 - 35</u> are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| The salitor decidation is objected to by the Ext | animor. Note the attached Office | 7.00011 01 1011111 1 | 0 102. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National | Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) ☐ Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | te | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal Pa | atent Application | | | | |
| Tapor No(o) Mian Date | o, | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The bisphosphonic acid derivative present in the oral formulation.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single bisphosphonic acid derivative species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Examples of acceptable species can be found in claims 7 – 10. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1618

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following

manner:

Specific characteristics of a bisphosphonic acid derivative (acid vs. salt, hydrate

stoichiometry) and specific compounds are recited in claims 3 - 10.

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept

under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or

corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the various

compounds encompassed by the claim have varying attributes (such as the protonated

or salt form of the active ingredient, the presence of a hydrate) and various compounds

are presented. There is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims

encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To

preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement,

the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Joint Inventorship

Art Unit: 1618

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nissa M. Westerberg whose telephone number is (571)270-3532. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F, 8:00 a.m. - 4 p.m. ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael G. Hartley/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618

NMW